







TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	1	Parks, Recreation and Trails	6
History of Murray	1-1	Introduction	6-
Community Profile and Demographics	1-1	Parks	6-1
Purpose of the Plan	1-6	Recreation Programs and Facilities	6-4
Flexibility of the General Plan	1-6	Urban Trails	6-5
Organization of the Plan Document	1-6	Funding Options and Opportunities – Parks and Recreation	6-7
Goals and Policies	1-6	Funding Options and Opportunities – Urban Trails	6-8
		Goals and Policies	6-9
Land Use	2	Noticed and Engineering antal Conditions	_
Introduction and Background	2-1	Natural and Environmental Conditions	<i>1</i>
Identified Land Use Issues	2-1	Introduction	7-
Existing Land Use	2-1	Existing Conditions	7-
Existing Zoning	2-2	Analysis	7-2
Land Use and Zoning Analysis	2-4	Recommendations	7-3
Land Use Concept	2-5	Goals and Policies	7-3
Future Land Use	2-5		
Goals and Policies	2-6	Economic Development	5
	_ •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0 .
Urban Design and Community Building	3	Existing Conditions Economic Impact of Annexation	8- <i>°</i> 8-3
		Goals and Policies	8-3
Existing Conditions	3-1	Goals and Folicies	0-1
Key Urban Design Issues	3-5	I I a v a la v	•
Urban Design Analysis	3-5	Housing	ç
Urban Design Concept	3-5	Introduction	9-
Goals and Policies	3-13	Affordability Analysis	9-2
		Housing	9-2
Transportation Element	4	Murray Demographic Information	9-3
Introduction and Background	4-1	Supply of Housing in Murray	9-4
Identified Transportation Issues	4-1	Costs of Housing in Murray	9-7
Existing Transportation Conditions	4-1	Analysis	9-9
Transportation Analysis	4-4	Filling the Gaps of Affordability	9-1
Transportation Recommendations	4-6	Financial Resources for Affordable Housing Development	9-14
Goals and Policies	4-7	Recommendations	9-14
	. ,	Goals and Policies	9-1
Historic Preservation	5	Annondiy A	^
Existing Resources	5-1	Appendix A	F
Analysis	5-2	Documentation of Public Participation Process	A-1
Recommendations	5-2		
Goals and Polices	5-3	Appendix B	E
		Documentation of Land Use Analysis Process	B- ⁻
		Description and the control of the c	

₋ist	of Tables		9-8	Type and Occupancy Comparisons – Percentage of All Housing Units, 2000,	
	Murray Population Projections 2002-2020	1-1		Murray, Salt Lake County, Midvale, Kearns, and Holladay	9-5
		1-1	9-9	Tenure by Race, Murray City, 2000	9-5
	Population by Age, 1990 and 2000	1-2	9-10	Building Permits Issued for Housing Units, City of Murray, Totals and Percent	
-3	Age Groups as Percentage Total Population; Murray City, Salt Lake County,	4.0		Change from Prior Year (1992-2001)	9-6
	and State of Utah	1-2	9-11	Building Permits Issued for Housing Units, Salt Lake County, Totals and Percent	
-4	Households with Children, 2000; Murray City, Salt Lake County, and State	4.0		Change from Prior Year (1992-2001)	9-6
_	of Utah	1-2	9-12	Murray Building Permits as Percent of County (1992-2001)	9-6
_	Population by Race, 2000; Murray City, Salt Lake County, and State of Utah	1-3	9-13	Assessed Property Values, Murray, 2002	9-7
-6	Household Income for Murray City, Salt Lake County, and State of Utah, 2001	1-3	9-14	Assessed Property Values, Murray, 2002	9-7
	Murray City Employment, 1991 and 2000	1-3	9-15	Homes (Single-Family and Condominiums) on the Market within Income Levels	9-8
-8	Murray City's Largest Employers, 2000	1-3	9-16	Rental Units in Murray, 1998, Projects with Ten Units or More	9-8
-9	Average Monthly Salary by Industry for Utah, Salt Lake County, and Murray City	1-4	9-17	Rentals on the Market within income levels	9-8
-10	Gross Taxable Sales and Annual Percentage Growth in Sales by Industry for		9-18	Comparison of Rental Rates, June 2002, Murray Area and Salt Lake County	9-9
	Murray City and Salt Lake County	1-5	9-19	Salt Lake Area Apartment Rental Rate Summary, 1994 to 2001	9-9
-11	Building Permits Issued for Housing Units, City of Murray; Total Permit-		9-20	Home Purchase Comparison, Affordable and July 2001-July 2002 Market Rates	9-9
	Authorized Units (1992-2001)	1-5	9-21	Housing Affordability Comparisons, (1990), 2000	9-10
-12	Murray Building Permits as Percent of County (1992-2001)	1-5	9-22	Projected Increases in Market Rents and Affordable Rents for 80% of AMI	9-10
-1	Existing Land Use	2-1		•	9-10
-2	Existing Zoning	2-3	9-23	Projected Increases in Market Rents and Affordable Rents for 60% of AMI	
-3	Existing Zoning: General Description of Residential Zones	2-3	9-24	Analysis of Affordability Based on Lot Coverages and Minimum Sizes	9-12
-4	Future Land Use	2-5	9-25	Summary of Permitted (X) and Conditional (C) Housing Uses in Murray City	0.46
-1	Change in AADT on Murray City Streets: 1990 to 2001	4-2	0.00	Zoning Districts	9-12
-2	Mode Choice to Work	4-3		Affordable Housing Density Bonuses	9-12
-1	Summary of Murray City Parks	6-1	9-27	U.S. Department of Housing & Urban Development Fair Market Rents for	
-2	Available Open Space in School Yards	6-2		Salt Lake City-Ogden MSA	9-13
-3	Comparison of NRPA Guidelines and Murray Status Quo	6-3			
-4	Comparison of Murray City Parkland/Population Ratio/Status to Other	0 0			
7	Local Communities	6-3	List	of Figures	
-5	Facility Standards and Current and Projected Need	6-4	1-1	Average Monthly Wages	1-4
-6	Recreation Programs by Age Group	6-5	1-2	Total Gross Sales, Murray City	1-4
-7	Comparison of Murray to Other Utah Cities, Percent of Workers Traveling	0-3	1-3	Total Gross Sales, Niditaly City Total Gross Sales, Salt Lake County	1-4
-1		6-5	1-4		1-6
0	to Work by Walking or Bicycling			Housing Construction (1992-2001)	
-8 -1	Description of Proposed Routes	6-6	3-1	Existing Situation: Little Cottonwood Creek at 500 West	3-6
	Property Values and Acreage by Type	8-1	3-2	Illustration of Potential Trail Corridor and Streetscape Improvements of	2.0
-2	Percentage of Total Property Value by Property Type	8-1	2.2	Little Cottonwood Creek at 500 West	3-6
	Leakage Analysis of Murray Sales	8-2	3-3	Typical Streetscape along State Street	3-6
-4	Murray Employment by Sector; 1991, 1994, 1997, 2000	8-3	3-4	Illustration of Potential Streetscape Improvements along Typical State Street	3-6
-5	Economic Comparison: Pre/Post 2002 Annexation	8-3	3-5	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 1: State Street, Historic Downtown	
-1	Income Limits and Affordable Housing Payments by Household Size			North to City Limits	3-7
	FY 2002, Salt Lake City – Ogden MSA	9-2	3-6	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 1: State Street, Civic Hall/	
-2	Maximum Permitted Rent Levels, 2002, Including Utility Allowance,			Murray Park Area	3-7
	by Number of Bedrooms	9-2	3-7	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 1: State Street, South of 5300 South	3-7
-3	Range of Household Incomes, 2002	9-3	3-8	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 2: Commerce Drive	3-7
-4	Households Paying More than 30% of Income for Housing Costs,		3-9	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 3: 900 East Grand Parkway	3-8
	by Tenure	9-3	3-10	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 3: Winchester Street Grand Parkway	3-8
-5	Affordable Housing Costs for Workers in Selected Occupations 2000,		3-11	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 3: Cottonwood Street Grand Parkway	3-8
	Salt Lake City – Ogden MSA	9-4	3-12	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 4: 4500 South Major Parkway	3-8
-6	Murray Population Projections 2002-2020	9-4	3-13	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 5: Vine Street Common Parkway	3-8
-7	Occupies Housing Units in Murray, 2000, by Tenure and Number of Units	9-5	3-14	Illustration of Street Enhancement Type 6: Urban Trail Scenario	3-9

Draft April 2003 Page ii

3-15	Illustration of possible enhancement treatments at a Primary Gateway into Murray City.	3-9
3-16	Views of the 900 East/5900 South Gateway, before and after improvements	3-9
3-17	Views of the 900 East/5900 South Gateway, before and after improvements	3-9
3-18	Example of Residential Buffer Retrofit 1: Use of walls, fences and other elements	
	as <u>front yard</u> transitional treatments	3-10
3-19	Example of Residential Buffer Retrofit 2: Use of walls, fences and other elements	
	as <u>rear yard</u> transitional treatments	3-10
3-20	Install Pedestrian/urban Trail Enhancements on the north side of Winchester Street	
0 20	as part of implementing the Grand Parkway Concept	3-10
3-21	Install Pedestrian/urban Trail Enhancements on the north side of Winchester Street	
0 2 1	as part of implementing the Grand Parkway Concept	3-10
3-22	Convert the South Side of Winchester Street into a continuous, green park strip	3-10
3-23	Convert the South Side of Winchester Street into a continuous, green park strip	3-10
3-24	Winchester Street Grand Parkway Development Scenario - Land Acquisition	5-10
J-2 -7	and Easement Negotiation Plan	3-11
3-25	Winchester Street Grand Parkway Development Scenario - Land Acquisition	J-11
3-25	Detail Plan	3-12
4-1		4-2
4-1 4-2	Murray City Street Functional Classifications	
	Traffic Volume Growth Comparison	4-3
4-3	UTA Bus Routes and Lightrail Trax Stations	4-3
4-4	Traffic Signal Locations	4-4
4-5	Section Illustrating Historic Downtown On-Street Parking Concept	4-6
8-1	Murray General Fund Revenues	8-1
8-2	Murray City Taxable Sales	8-2
8-3	Non-Agriculture Employment; Murray City	8-3
9-1	Age of Housing Stock	9-5
9-2	Housing Construction 1992-2001	9-6
9-3	Single-Family Home Prices in Murray	9-7
9-4	Condominium Prices in Murray, 2002	9-8
9-5	Historical Vacancy Rates in Salt Lake County	9-9
9-6	Murray Home Prices and Income Growth Comparison	9-10
9-7	Zoning Setback Requirements	9-11
List	of Maps	
2-1	Existing Land Use	2-8
2-2	Existing Zoning	2-9
2-3	Land Use Concept	2-10
2-4	Future Land Use	2-11
2-5	Proposed Zoning Changes	2-12
3-1	Urban Design Strategy	3-14
3-2	Historic Downtown Urban Design Strategy	3-15
5-1	Major Historic Buildings and Sites	5-4
5-2	Historic Preservation Plan	5-5
6-1	Parks and Recreation Facilities	6-11
6-2	Trails Plan	6-12
7-1	Natural and Environmental Conditions: Liquefaction Potential	7-1
7-2	Natural and Environmental Conditions: Generalized Development Suitability	
	Analysis	7-4
8-1	Economic Development: Commercial Opportunity Areas	8-4
J .	200 2010 Commercial Opportunity / trodo	5 1

B1	Land Use Analysis: Vacant/Under-utilized Land and Land Use Conflicts	B-2
B2	Land Use Analysis: "Hot Spot" Locations	B-3
B3	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS A - Winchester Street (East)	B-4
B4	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS B - 900 East	B-5
B5	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS C - State Street (South)	B-6
B6	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS D - Fashion Place Mall/ Cottonwood Hospital	B-7
B7	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS E - IHC Regional Medical Center/ Costco	B-8
B8	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS F - Commerce Drive	B-9
B9	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS G - Murray North TRAX Station	B-10
B10	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS H - Murray Central TRAX Station	B-11
B11	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS I - Fashion Place TRAX Station	B-12
B12	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS K - Agricultural and Vacant Land	B-13
B12	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS L - Zoning and Land Use Conflicts	B-13
B13	Land Use Analysis: HOT SPOTS M - Minor "Hot Spots"	R-14

Draft April 2003 Page iii